arXiv:1304.3611v1 [math.RT] 12 Apr 2013

GREEN RINGS OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL POINTED RANK ONE HOPF ALGEBRAS OF NILPOTENT TYPE

ZHIHUA WANG, LIBIN LI, AND YINHUO ZHANG

Abstract. Let H be a finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra of nilpotent type, and $G = G(H)$, the group of group-like elements of H. We study the finite dimensional indecomposable H-modules and establish the Clebsch-Gordan formulas for the decompositions of the tensor products of indecomposable H-modules. It turns out that the Green ring $r(H)$ is commutative and generated by one variable over the Grothendieck ring of the group algebra $\Bbbk G$ modulo one relation. The Jacobson radical of $r(H)$ is completely determined and is a principal ideal of $r(H)$ generated by one element. As an example, we shall describe the Green ring of the pointed rank one Hopf algebra H with $G(H)$ a Dihedral group.

1. Introduction

Let H be a Hopf algebra over a field $\Bbbk$, $H_0 \subseteq H_1 \subseteq H_2 \subseteq \cdots$ the coradical filtration of H. Assume that the coradical H_0 is a Hopf subalgebra of H. Then each H_i is a free H_0-module. Consider the trivial right H_0-module $\Bbbk$. If H is generated as an algebra by H_1 and $\dim_{\Bbbk}(\Bbbk \otimes_{H_0} H_1) = n + 1$, then H is called a Hopf algebra of rank n [11, 22]. The class of finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras of rank one coincides with the class of non-semisimple monomial Hopf algebras discussed in [3]. The classification of finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras of rank one or non-semisimple monomial Hopf algebras over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 has been given respectively in [11] and [3]. In the case of characteristic $p > 0$, the classification was given by Scherotzke in [17]. The classification of infinite or finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras of rank one over an arbitrary field $\Bbbk$ was obtained in [22].

A finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra can be obtained via some group datum. A quadruple $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$ is called a group datum, if G is a finite group, g an element in the center of G, χ a $\Bbbk$-linear character of G, and $\mu \in \Bbbk$ such that $\chi^n = 1$ or $\mu(g^n - 1) = 0$, where n is the order of $\chi(g)$. If $\mu(g^n - 1) = 0$, then the group datum $\mathcal{D}$ is said to be

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 19A22.

Key words and phrases. Green ring, indecomposable module, pointed rank one Hopf algebra, Jacobson radical.

of nilpotent type. Otherwise, it is of non-nilpotent type (see [11]). For a group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$, denote by $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ the Hopf algebra constructed via $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$, see Section 2 for details. The Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is finite dimensional, pointed and of rank one. Conversely, for any finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebra H of rank one, there exists some group datum $\mathcal{D}$ such that $H \cong H_{\mathcal{D}}$ as Hopf algebras. Therefore, we have a one-to-one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional pointed Hopf algebras of rank one and the set of the isomorphism classes of group data, see [3, 11]. Many interesting Hopf algebras are of the form $H_{\mathcal{D}}$, including the Taft algebras [2, 20], the generalized Taft algebras [12, 16] and the half-quantum group u_q^+ [5, 7], etc.

In [2] and [12], the authors studied the Green rings of the Taft algebras and the generalized Taft algebras respectively. In both cases, the Green rings are commutative and are generated by two elements subject to certain relations defined recursively (see [2, 12]). The nilpotent elements of the aforementioned Green rings have been completely determined in [12]. In [9], the authors presented the Clebsch-Gordan formulae and the Green rings of the pointed tensor categories of finite type, using the quiver techniques to study the comodules over a graded coquasi-Hopf algebra. Stimulated by the above works we shall compute the Green ring of a finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ with the group datum $\mathcal{D}$ being of nilpotent type. We shall first determine the finite dimensional indecomposable $H_{\mathcal{D}}$-modules, and work out the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition formulas of the tensor product of two indecomposable $H_{\mathcal{D}}$-modules. Using the obtained formulas we compute the Green ring of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and study the Jacobson radical of the Green ring.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall the construction of a finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ from a group datum $\mathcal{D}$. We only deal with $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ with $\mathcal{D}$ of nilpotent type. We discuss the representations of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and determine all non-isomorphic finite dimensional indecomposable $H_{\mathcal{D}}$-modules.

In Section 3, based on the decompositions of tensor products of simple modules over $\Bbbk G$, where G is the group in $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$, we obtain the Clebsch-Gordan formulas for the decomposition of the tensor product of two indecomposable $H_{\mathcal{D}}$-modules, extending the decomposition formulas given in [5, 7] and [2]. It is shown that the tensor product is commutative despite that $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is not necessary quasi-cocommutative. We also describe the dual of each indecomposable module at the end of the section.

In Section 4, we show that the Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring with one variable over the Grothendieck ring $G_0(\Bbbk G)$ of $\Bbbk G$ modulo some relation, where the relation is associated to a generalized Fibonacci polynomial. We point out that the Grothendieck ring $G_0(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ of

$H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is equal to the Grothendieck ring $G_0(\Bbbk G)$ (or the Green ring $r(\Bbbk G)$). In general, the monomial category ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ of representations over a Hopf algebra A can not be completely determined by the Green ring $r(A)$ of A, see [21, Remark 1.8]. In the end of this section, we give a sufficient condition for two non-isomorphic Hopf algebras $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ sharing the same Green ring.

In section 5, we study the Jacobson radical $J(r(H_{\mathcal{D}}))$ of the Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$. To this end, we need to compute the number of distinct roots of the generalized Fibonacci polynomials, the number of non-isomorphic simple modules over $R(\Bbbk G) := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r(\Bbbk G)$, and the number of non-isomorphic simple modules over $R(H_{\mathcal{D}}) := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$. According to the structure theorem of the Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ (Theorem 4.2), we find out that each simple module of $R(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ is a lift of some simple module of $R(\Bbbk G)$. Thus, we obtain the dimension of the Jacobson radical $J(R(H_{\mathcal{D}}))$, the key to the study of the Jacobson radical $J(r(H_{\mathcal{D}}))$. Moreover, by determining the rank of the Jacobson radical $J(r(H_{\mathcal{D}}))$, we can describe the elements in $J(r(H_{\mathcal{D}}))$ completely. It turns out that the Jacobson radical of $r(H)$ is a principal ideal generated by one element (Theorem 5.4).

In Section 6, we compute the Green ring of a finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ with the group datum $\mathcal{D}$ being of nilpotent type such that the group G in $\mathcal{D}$ is a Dihedral group. By the study of the Grothendieck ring of the Dihedral group, we describe the Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ explicitly by four generators and five relations.

Throughout, we work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic zero. Unless otherwise stated, all algebras, Hopf algebras and modules are defined over $\Bbbk$; all modules are left modules and finite dimensional; all maps are $\Bbbk$-linear; dim, $\otimes$ and Hom stand for $\dim_{\Bbbk}$, $\otimes_{\Bbbk}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Bbbk}$, respectively. For the theory of Hopf algebras and quantum groups, we refer to [10, 15, 14, 19]. We use Sweedler's notations for the comultiplication. For a Hopf algebra H, we denote by $\Bbbk_{\varepsilon}$ the trivial simple H-module and $P(V)$ the projective cover of an H-module V.

2. Representations of Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ of nilpotent type

In this section, we first recall the construction of a finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra from a group datum $\mathcal{D}$. Given a group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$, let $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ be an associative algebra generated by y and the group algebra $\Bbbk G$ subject to the following relations:

$$y^n = \mu(g^n - 1),\ yh = \chi(h)hy,\ \text{for all } h \in G.$$

The algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is finite dimensional with a canonical PBW $\Bbbk$-basis $\{y^i h | h \in G,\ 0 \le i \le n-1\}$. Thus $\dim H_{\mathcal{D}} = n|G|$, where $|G|$ is the order of G. The linear character χ induces an automorphism σ of $\Bbbk G$:

$$\sigma(a) = \Sigma\chi(a_1)a_2,\ \forall a \in \Bbbk G.$$

In this case, $y^j a = \sigma^j(a)y^j$ holds in $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ for any $a \in \Bbbk G$ and $j \geq 0$.

The algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is endowed with a Hopf algebra structure, where the comultiplication $\triangle$, the counit ε, and the antipode S are given by

$$\begin{gathered}\triangle(y) = y \otimes g + 1 \otimes y,\ \varepsilon(y) = 0,\\ \triangle(h) = h \otimes h,\ \varepsilon(h) = 1,\ \text{for all } h \in G,\\ S(y) = -yg^{-1},\ S(h) = h^{-1},\ \text{for all } h \in G.\end{gathered}$$

It is easy to see that G is the group of group-like elements in $H_{\mathcal{D}}$. The Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is called a pointed rank one Hopf algebra in [11] or a non-semisimple monomial Hopf algebra in [3]. The following proposition gives a classification of such Hopf algebras, which comes from [11, Theorem 1 (c)], see also [3, Theorem 5.9].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$ and $\mathcal{D}' = (G', \chi', g', \mu')$ be two group data. Then $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{D}'}$ are isomorphic as Hopf algebras if and only if there is a group isomorphism $f : G \to G'$ such that $f(g) = g'$, $\chi = \chi' \circ f$ and $\beta\mu'(g'^n - 1) = \mu(g'^n - 1)$ for some non-zero $\beta \in \Bbbk$, where n is the order of $\chi(g)$.*

In case the group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$ is of nilpotent type, namely, $\mu(g^n - 1) = 0$, where n is the order of $\chi(g)$, then it is either $\mu = 0$ or $g^n - 1 = 0$. In either case, Proposition 2.1 implies that the Hopf algebras constructed from the group data (G, χ, g, μ) and $(G, \chi, g, 0)$ respectively are isomorphic. Because of this fact, we may assume that $\mu = 0$ for any group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, \mu)$ of nilpotent type. From now on, we deal only with the group data of the form $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$. We call such a Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ *of nilpotent type*. In this case, $y^n = 0$ holds in $H_{\mathcal{D}}$.

Throughout the paper, we fix the following notations. Let $H := H_{\mathcal{D}}$ be the finite dimensional pointed rank one Hopf algebra stemming from a group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$ of nilpotent type. Let $\{V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_m\}$ be a complete set of non-isomorphic simple $\Bbbk G$-modules, where $V_1 = \Bbbk_\varepsilon$, the trivial $\Bbbk G$-module. Let $n \geq 2$ be the order of $\chi(g)$. Then $\chi(g)$ is a primitive n-th root of unity ($n \geq 2$ implies that $g \neq 1$ and $\chi \neq \varepsilon$). Note that $y^n = 0$ and the quotient algebra $H/(y) \cong \Bbbk G$ is semisimple. Hence, the Jacobson radical J of H is generated as an ideal by the nilpotent element y. Let $e = \frac{1}{|G|}\Sigma_{h \in G} h$. Then $ey^{n-1} \in \int_H^l$ and $y^{n-1}e \in \int_H^r$. It follows from [13, Proposition 2.5] that H is neither unimodular nor symmetric.

Note that $J = (y) = yH = Hy$. Thus, an H-module V is semisimple if and only if $yV = 0$. Moreover, V is simple if and only if $yV = 0$ and V is simple as a $\Bbbk G$-module. Therefore, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_m$ be all non-isomorphic simple $\Bbbk G$-modules. Then $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_m$ form a complete set of non-isomorphic simple H-modules such that $yV_i = 0$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$.*

Following Lemma 2.2, we see that each simple H-module V_i, restricts to a simple $\Bbbk G$-module. Therefore, there is a primitive idempotent e_i of $\Bbbk G$ such that $V_i \cong \Bbbk Ge_i$. Since e_i is also a primitive idempotent of H and $He_i/JHe_i \cong V_i$, we conclude that He_i is the projective cover of the simple module V_i, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Obviously, $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_m$ are all primitive idempotents of H if and only if H is basic. Now let us decompose He_i as the following direct sum of vector spaces:

$$He_i = \Bbbk Ge_i \oplus y\Bbbk Ge_i \oplus y^2\Bbbk Ge_i \oplus \cdots \oplus y^{n-1}\Bbbk Ge_i.$$

Then we have the following ascending chain of H-modules:

$$0 = J^n He_i \subseteq J^{n-1}He_i \subseteq \cdots \subseteq J^2He_i \subseteq JHe_i \subseteq J^0He_i = He_i,$$

where $J^jHe_i = y^jHe_i = y^j\Bbbk Ge_i \oplus \cdots \oplus y^{n-1}\Bbbk Ge_i$. In fact, the chain forms a composition series of He_i with the composition factors:

$$J^jHe_i/J^{j+1}He_i = \overline{y^j\Bbbk Ge_i} = \overline{\Bbbk Gy^je_i} = \overline{\Bbbk G\sigma^j(e_i)y^j} \cong \Bbbk G\sigma^j(e_i),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Let $M(i,j)$ denote the following H-module:

$$He_i/J^jHe_i = \overline{\Bbbk Ge_i} \oplus \overline{y\Bbbk Ge_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{y^{j-1}\Bbbk Ge_i},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then we have the following results.

Theorem 2.3. *For $1 \leq i,k \leq m$ and $1 \leq j,l \leq n$.*

1. *$\mathrm{soc}M(i,j) \cong \Bbbk G\sigma^{j-1}(e_i)$, $M(i,j)/JM(i,j) \cong \Bbbk Ge_i$ and the projective cover $P(M(i,j))$ of $M(i,j)$ is isomorphic to $He_i = M(i,n)$.*
2. *$M(i,j)$ is indecomposable and uniserial with $\dim M(i,j) = j \dim V_i$.*
3. *H is a Nakayama algebra and hence is of finite representation type.*
4. *$M(i,j) \cong M(k,l)$ if and only if $i = k$ and $j = l$. Thus, $\{M(i,j) | 1 \leq i \leq m,\ 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ forms a complete set of indecomposable finite dimensional H-modules up to isomorphism.*

Proof. (1) Since $J = (y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{soc}M(i,j) &= \{u \in M(i,j) \mid yu = 0\}\\ &= \overline{y^{j-1}\Bbbk Ge_i} = \overline{\Bbbk G\sigma^{j-1}(e_i)y^{j-1}} \cong \Bbbk G\sigma^{j-1}(e_i),\end{aligned}$$

and $JM(i,j) = yM(i,j) = \overline{y\Bbbk Ge_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{y^{j-1}\Bbbk Ge_i}$. It follows that

$$M(i,j)/JM(i,j) = \overline{\Bbbk Ge_i} \cong \Bbbk Ge_i,$$

and

$$P(M(i,j)) \cong P(M(i,j)/JM(i,j)) \cong P(\Bbbk Ge_i) \cong He_i = M(i,n).$$

(2) Note that soc$M(i,j)$ is simple by Part (1). Consequently, $M(i,j)$ is indecomposable. Denote by $N_{i,l}$ the submodule of $M(i,j)$:

$$N_{i,l} = \overline{y^l \Bbbk G e_i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overline{y^{j-1} \Bbbk G e_i},$$

for $0 \leq l \leq j-1$. Suppose that N is a non-zero submodule of $M(i,j)$. Then there exists a largest l such that $N \subseteq N_{i,l}$. Since $N_{i,l+1}$ is a maximal submodule of $N_{i,l}$, we conclude that $N + N_{i,l+1} = N_{i,l}$. However, $N_{i,l+1} = JN_{i,l}$, whence $N = N_{i,l}$ by Nakayama's lemma. Thus $M(i,j)$ is uniserial. The fact that the dimension $\dim M(i,j) = j \dim V_i$ is obvious.

(3) Since H is Frobenius and hence self-injective, by [1, Ch IV, Proposition 3.1], an H-module is projective if and only if it is injective. It follows that $M(i,n) = He_i$ is both the indecomposable projective and indecomposable injective module. We conclude that H is a Nakayama algebra.

(4) If $M(i,j) \cong M(k,l)$, then by Part (1),

$$\Bbbk G e_i \cong M(i,j)/JM(i,j) \cong M(k,l)/JM(k,l) \cong \Bbbk G e_k,$$

for $1 \leq i,k \leq m$. This implies that $i = k$. Comparing the dimensions of the vector spaces we obtain that $j = l$. Note that every indecomposable H-module is uniserial and isomorphic to a quotient of an indecomposable projective module. Thus, every finite dimensional indecomposable H-module is of the form $M(i,j)$, for some $1 \leq i \leq m$ and some $1 \leq j \leq n$. ∎

3. Clebsch-Gordan decomposition formulas

We have seen in section 2 that the Hopf algebra H has mn non-isomorphic indecomposable modules $M(i,j)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, where m is the number of non-isomorphic simple $\Bbbk G$-modules. The aim of this section is to study the decompositions of the tensor products of indecomposable H-modules $M(i,j)$. The technique we will apply is entirely based on [5, 7]. Since the Taft algebras, the generalized Taft algebras and the half-quantum group u_q^+ [7] are all pointed rank one Hopf algebras of nilpotent type, the results obtained in this section extend the results appeared in [2, 7, 12].

Let x be a variable. For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $x^k V_i$ the set of elements of form $x^k u$, $u \in V_i$. Consider $x^k V_i$ as a vector space defined by

$$x^k u + x^k v = x^k(u+v), \text{ and } \lambda(x^k u) = x^k(\lambda u), \ \forall u, v \in V_i, \lambda \in \Bbbk.$$

Then the direct sum $\overset{j-1}{\underset{k=0}{\oplus}} x^k V_i$ is an H-module defined by

$$h(x^k u) = \frac{1}{\chi^k(h)} x^k h u,$$

and

$$y(x^k u) = \begin{cases} x^{k+1}u, & 0 \leq k \leq j-2, \\ 0, & k = j-1, \end{cases}$$

for all $h \in G$ and $u \in V_i$. The direct sum $\overset{j-1}{\underset{k=0}{\oplus}} x^k V_i$ is isomorphic to $M(i,j)$ as H-modules because of the following isomorphism:

$$\overset{j-1}{\underset{k=0}{\Sigma}} x^k u_k \mapsto \overset{j-1}{\underset{k=0}{\Sigma}} \overline{y^k \rho_i(u_k)}, \text{ for } u_k \in V_i,\ 0 \leq k \leq j-1,$$

where $\rho_i : V_i \to \Bbbk G e_i$ is a $\Bbbk G$-module isomorphism. Hence, in the sequel, we shall identify $M(i,j)$ with $\overset{j-1}{\underset{k=0}{\oplus}} x^k V_i$ equipped with the foregoing H-module structure, namely,

$$M(i,j) = \{x^k u \mid u \in V_i,\ 0 \leq k \leq j-1\}.$$

Let V_χ and $V_{\chi^{-1}}$ be the two (1-dimensional simple) $\Bbbk G$-modules corresponding to the linear characters χ and χ^{-1} respectively. For any simple $\Bbbk G$-module V_s, the tensor product $V_{\chi^{-1}} \otimes V_s \cong V_s \otimes V_{\chi^{-1}}$ is also simple. Hence there is a unique $1 \leq \tau(s) \leq m$ such that

$$V_{\chi^{-1}} \otimes V_s \cong V_s \otimes V_{\chi^{-1}} \cong V_{\tau(s)}.$$

Since $V_\chi \otimes V_{\chi^{-1}} \cong \Bbbk_\varepsilon$, we obtain that for any $1 \leq s,k \leq m$, $s \neq k$ if and only if $V_{\tau(s)} \ncong V_{\tau(k)}$ if and only if $\tau(s) \neq \tau(k)$. Therefore, τ permutes the index set $\{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$ of simple $\Bbbk G$-modules. The following properties of the permutation τ are useful in this section.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

1. $V_s \otimes V_\chi \cong V_\chi \otimes V_s \cong V_{\tau^{-1}(s)}$.
2. $V_s \otimes V_{\chi^{-t}} \cong V_{\tau^t(s)}$.
3. *There is a bijective map, denoted by $\widetilde{\sigma}_{s,t}$, from V_s to $V_{\tau^t(s)}$ such that $\widetilde{\sigma}_{s,t}(av) = \sigma^t(a)\widetilde{\sigma}_{s,t}(v)$, for any $a \in \Bbbk G$ and $v \in V_s$.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $V_s \otimes V_\chi \cong V_k$, for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then $V_s \cong V_s \otimes V_\chi \otimes V_{\chi^{-1}} \cong V_k \otimes V_{\chi^{-1}} \cong V_{\tau(k)}$. It follows that $s = \tau(k)$ and hence $k = \tau^{-1}(s)$.

(2) Follows from the fact that $V_{\chi^i} \otimes V_{\chi^j} \cong V_{\chi^{i+j}}$, for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(3) For a fixed non-zero element $u \in V_{\chi^{-t}}$, the map $V_s \to V_s \otimes V_{\chi^{-t}},\ v \mapsto v \otimes u$ composed with the isomorphism $V_s \otimes V_{\chi^{-t}} \cong V_{\tau^t(s)}$ gives the desired bijective map. ∎

Let V_i, V_j be two simple $\Bbbk G$-modules. The tensor product $V_i \otimes V_j$ has a unique (up to the order) decomposition into a direct sum of simple $\Bbbk G$-modules, say, $\underset{s}{\oplus} V_s$. We need to point out that if the multiplicity of the summand V_s appeared in this decomposition is m_s, then there are m_s copies of V_s in this decomposition. We fix a $\Bbbk G$-module isomorphism f from $V_i \otimes V_j$ to $\underset{s}{\oplus} V_s$ and let π_s be the projection from $\underset{s}{\oplus} V_s$ to the summand V_s.

Proposition 3.2. *For any* $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ *and* $1 \leq l \leq n$, *if* $V_i \otimes V_j \cong \bigoplus_s V_s$, *for* $1 \leq s \leq m$. *Then* $V_i \otimes M(j,l) \cong \bigoplus_s M(s,l)$. *In particular,* $V_i \otimes M(1,l) \cong M(i,l)$ *and* $V_{\chi^{-1}} \otimes M(j,l) \cong M(\tau(j),l)$.

Proof. Define a $\Bbbk$-linear map $\phi : V_i \otimes M(j,l) \to \bigoplus_s M(s,l)$ as

$$\phi(u \otimes x^k v) = \sum_s x^k \pi_s(f(u \otimes v)), \text{ for } 0 \leq k \leq l-1,$$

where $u \in V_i$, $v \in V_j$. It is easy to check that ϕ is an injective H-module morphism. Since $V_i \otimes V_j \cong \bigoplus_s V_s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_i \otimes M(j,l)) &= \dim V_i \dim M(j,l) \\ &= l \dim V_i \dim V_j \\ &= l \dim(\bigoplus_s V_s) \\ &= \dim(\bigoplus_s M(s,l)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, ϕ is an H-module isomorphism, as desired. ∎

Proposition 3.3. *For any* $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ *and* $1 < l < n$, *if* $V_i \otimes V_j \cong \bigoplus_s V_s$, *for some* $1 \leq s \leq m$, *then* $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,l) \cong \bigoplus_s (M(s,l+1) \oplus M(\tau(s),l-1))$. *In particular,* $M(1,2) \otimes M(j,l) \cong M(j,l+1) \oplus M(\tau(j),l-1)$.

Proof. Since g is an element in the center of G, the action of g on the simple $\Bbbk G$-module V_j is a scalar multiplication by some non-zero element, say, β_j. Denote by L the subspace of $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,l)$ spanned by the elements

$$u \otimes x^k v + \lambda_k xu \otimes x^{k-1} v, \text{ for all } u \in V_i,\ v \in V_j,\ 0 \leq k \leq l, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\lambda_k = \frac{\beta_j(1-q^k)}{q^{k-1}(1-q)}$ and $q = \chi(g)$ is a primitive n-th root of unity for $n \geq 2$. If $k = 0$, then $\lambda_0 = 0$ and hence $u \otimes v + \lambda_0 xu \otimes x^{-1} v = u \otimes v$. If $k = l$, we have $u \otimes x^l v = 0$ and hence $u \otimes x^l v + \lambda_l xu \otimes x^{l-1} v = \lambda_l xu \otimes x^{l-1} v$. Now for any $h \in G$, the action of h on $u \otimes x^k v + \lambda_k xu \otimes x^{k-1} v$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h(u \otimes x^k v + \lambda_k xu \otimes x^{k-1} v) &= hu \otimes hx^k v + \lambda_k hxu \otimes hx^{k-1} v \\ &= \frac{1}{\chi^k(h)}(hu \otimes x^k hv + \lambda_k xhu \otimes x^{k-1} hv) \in L, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq k \leq l$. Note that $y(xu) = 0$ and $y(x^{l-1}v) = 0$ hold in $M(i,2)$ and $M(j,l)$ respectively. Thus, the action of y on $u \otimes x^k v + \lambda_k xu \otimes x^{k-1} v$

is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} y(u\otimes x^kv+\lambda_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v) &= xu\otimes gx^kv+u\otimes x^{k+1}v+\lambda_k xu\otimes x^kv \\ &= u\otimes x^{k+1}v+(\frac{\beta_j}{q^k}+\lambda_k)xu\otimes x^kv \\ &= u\otimes x^{k+1}v+\lambda_{k+1}xu\otimes x^kv\in L, \end{aligned}$$

where $0\le k\le l-1$. In case $k=l$, we have

$$y(\lambda_l xu\otimes x^{l-1}v)=0.$$

Since the action of H on L is stable, L is a submodule of $M(i,2)\otimes M(j,l)$. Now we define a $\Bbbk$-linear map as follows:

$$L\to\bigoplus_s M(s,l+1),\ u\otimes x^kv+\lambda_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v\mapsto\sum_s x^k\pi_s(f(u\otimes v)),$$

for $0\le k\le l$. Then the map defined above is an injective H-module morphism. Since

$$\dim L=(l+1)\dim V_i\dim V_j=(l+1)\dim(\bigoplus_s V_s))=\dim(\bigoplus_s M(s,l+1)),$$

we obtain that L is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_s M(s,l+1)$.

It remains to show that there exists a submodule of $M(i,2)\otimes M(j,l)$ isomorphic to $\bigoplus_s M(\tau(s),l-1))$. Denote by N the subspace of $M(i,2)\otimes M(j,l)$ spanned by the elements

$$u\otimes x^kv-\zeta_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v,\ \text{for all } u\in V_i,\ v\in V_j,\ 1\le k\le l-1,$$

where $\zeta_k=\frac{\beta_j}{1-q}(\frac{1}{q^{l-1}}-\frac{1}{q^{k-1}})$. Similarly, the action of H on N is stable as showed in the following:

$$h(u\otimes x^kv-\zeta_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v)=\frac{1}{\chi^k(h)}(hu\otimes x^khv-\zeta_k xhu\otimes x^{k-1}hv)\in N,$$

where $h\in G$, $1\le k\le l-1$, and

$$y(u\otimes x^kv-\zeta_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v)=u\otimes x^{k+1}v-\zeta_{k+1}xu\otimes x^kv\in N,$$

where $1\le k\le l-2$. For the case $k=l-1$, we have

$$y(u\otimes x^{l-1}v-\zeta_{l-1}xu\otimes x^{l-2}v)=0.$$

Now we define a $\Bbbk$-linear map as follows:

$$N\to\bigoplus_s M(\tau(s),l-1),\ u\otimes x^kv-\zeta_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v\mapsto\sum_s x^{k-1}\widetilde{\sigma}_{s,1}(\pi_s(f(u\otimes v))),$$

for $1\le k\le l-1$. A similar argument on dimensions shows that the map above is an H-module isomorphism. We conclude that N is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_s M(\tau(s),l-1)$.

The rest of the proof is to show that L and N have a trivial intersection. As vector spaces, L and N are graded with homogeneous elements of degree k given respectively by $u\otimes x^kv+\lambda_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v$ and $u\otimes x^kv-\zeta_k xu\otimes x^{k-1}v$, for $u \in V_i$ and $v \in V_j$. Therefore, it suffices to show that their intersection is trivial at each degree. This is straightforward as we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_k & -\zeta_k \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{\beta_j(1-q^l)}{q^{l-1}(1-q)} \neq 0.$$

∎

Proposition 3.4. *For any* $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, *if* $V_i \otimes V_j \cong \bigoplus_s V_s$, *for some* $1 \leq s \leq m$, *then* $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,n) \cong \bigoplus_s (M(s,n) \oplus M(\tau(s),n))$. *In particular,* $M(1,2) \otimes M(j,n) \cong M(j,n) \oplus M(\tau(j),n)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Proposition 3.3. In the case $l = n$, we have a subspace L of $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,n)$, spanned by the elements of the form (3.1). Note that $u \otimes x^n v = 0$ holds in $M(i,n)$ and $\lambda_n = 0$. Thus, $u \otimes x^n v + \lambda_n xu \otimes x^{n-1} v = 0$. The same argument shows that L is a submodule of $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,n)$ and isomorphic to $\bigoplus_s M(s,n)$. On the other hand, we have a complementary subspace Q of L spanned by elements $xu \otimes x^k v$, for all $u \in V_i$, $v \in V_j$ and $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, such that Q is a submodule of $M(i,2) \otimes M(j,n)$ and isomorphic to $\bigoplus_s M(\tau(s),n)$, where the isomorphism is given by

$$Q \to \bigoplus_s M(\tau(s),n), \ xu \otimes x^k v \mapsto \sum_s x^k \widetilde{\sigma}_{s,1}(\pi_s(f(u \otimes v))),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. Hence, we get the desired result. ∎

We are now ready to state the main theorem in this section which generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [7].

Theorem 3.5. *For any* $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, *let* $V_i \otimes V_j \cong \bigoplus_s V_s$, *for* $1 \leq s \leq m$. *Let* $1 \leq k, l \leq n$.

(1) *If* $k + l - 1 \leq n$, *then*

$$M(i,k) \otimes M(j,l) \cong \bigoplus_s \bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k,l\}-1} M(\tau^t(s), k+l-1-2t).$$

(2) *If* $k + l - 1 \geq n$, *then*

$$M(i,k) \otimes M(j,l) \cong \bigoplus_s \Big(\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r} M(\tau^t(s),n) \oplus \bigoplus_{t=r+1}^{\min\{k,l\}-1} M(\tau^t(s), k+l-1-2t)\Big),$$

where $r = k + l - 1 - n$.

Proof. We define $M(i,k) = 0$ if $k = 0$. We proceed by induction on $k+l-1$ for both cases: $k+l-1 \leq n$ and $k+l-1 \geq n$, where $1 \leq k+l-1 \leq 2n-1$. By the associativity of the tensor product, we have

$$M(1,2) \otimes [M(i,k-1) \otimes M(j,l)] \cong [M(1,2) \otimes M(i,k-1)] \otimes M(j,l). \tag{3.2}$$

We show Part (1) first. It is obvious that the decomposition holds for $k+l-1=1$. For a fixed $1<p\leq n-1$, suppose that it holds for $1<k+l-1\leq p$. We show that the decomposition still holds for the case $k+l-1=p+1$ by comparing both sides of (3.2). Since $k+l-1=p+1$, we map apply the induction hypothesis on $(k-1)+l-1\leq p$. Thus we have

$$M(i,k-1)\otimes M(j,l)\cong\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t}(s),k-1+l-1-2t).$$

By Proposition 3.3, the left-hand side of (3.2) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(1,2)\otimes[M(i,k-1)\otimes M(j,l)]\\
\cong\ &M(1,2)\otimes[\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t}(s),k-1+l-1-2t)]\\
\cong\ &\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}[M(1,2)\otimes M(\tau^{t}(s),k-1+l-1-2t)]\\
\cong\ &\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}[M(\tau^{t}(s),k-1+l-2t)\oplus M(\tau^{t+1}(s),k-1+l-2-2t)].
\end{aligned}$$

To consider the right-hand side of (3.2), since $k+l-1=p+1$ implies that $(k-2)+l-1\leq p$, then by the induction assumption,

$$M(i,k-2)\otimes M(j,l)\cong\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t}(s),k-2+l-1-2t).$$

Now we have the following isomorphisms derived from Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(\tau(i),k-2)\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ &V_{\chi^{-1}}\otimes M(i,k-2)\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ &V_{\chi^{-1}}\otimes(\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t}(s),k-2+l-1-2t))\\
\cong\ &\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}(V_{\chi^{-1}}\otimes M(\tau^{t}(s),k-2+l-1-2t))\\
\cong\ &\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t+1}(s),k-2+l-1-2t).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.3, the right-hand side of (3.2) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M(1,2)\otimes M(i,k-1)]\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ &[M(i,k)\oplus M(\tau(i),k-2)]\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ &[M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)]\oplus[M(\tau(i),k-2)\otimes M(j,l)]\\
\cong\ &[M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)]\oplus\bigoplus_{s}\bigoplus_{t=0}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t+1}(s),k-2+l-1-2t).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two sides of (3.2), we obtain the following:
If $k-1<l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l) &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{k-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t) \\ &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{\min\{k,l\}-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t). \end{aligned}$$

If $k-1>l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l) &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{l-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t) \\ &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{\min\{k,l\}-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t). \end{aligned}$$

If $k-1=l$, then

$$\begin{aligned} M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l) &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{k-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t) \\ &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{k-2}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t) \\ &\cong \underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{\min\{k,l\}-1}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism holds since $M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)=0$ when $t=k-1$. Thus we have proved Part (1) for the case $k+l-1=p+1$.

Now we show Part (2). When $k+l-1=n$, Part (1) and Part (2) coincide. For a fixed $n+1\le p\le 2n-2$, suppose the decomposition of Part (2) holds for $n<k+l-1\le p$. We consider the case $k+l-1=p+1$. By the induction assumption, Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.3, the left-hand side of (3.2) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} & M(1,2)\otimes[M(i,k-1)\otimes M(j,l)] \\ \cong\ & M(1,2)\otimes\underset{s}{\oplus}[\overset{r}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus \overset{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}{\underset{t=r+1}{\oplus}} M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-1-2t)] \\ \cong\ & [\underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{r}{\underset{t=0}{\oplus}}(M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus M(\tau^{t+1}(s),n))] \\ \oplus\ & [\underset{s}{\oplus}\overset{\min\{k-1,l\}-1}{\underset{t=r+1}{\oplus}}(M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t))\oplus M(\tau^{t+1}(s),k-1+l-2-2t)], \end{aligned}$$

where $r=k-1+l-1-n$.

By Proposition 3.3, the right-hand side of (3.2) is isomorphic to

$$\begin{aligned} & [M(1,2)\otimes M(i,k-1)]\otimes M(j,l) \\ \cong\ & [M(i,k)\oplus M(\tau(i),k-2)]\otimes M(j,l) \\ \cong\ & [M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)]\oplus[M(\tau(i),k-2)\otimes M(j,l)], \end{aligned}$$

and by the induction assumption,

$$\begin{aligned}
&M(\tau(i),k-2)\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ & V_{\chi^{-1}}\otimes M(i,k-2)\otimes M(j,l)\\
\cong\ & V_{\chi^{-1}}\otimes[\bigoplus_s(\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r-1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^t(s),k-2+l-1-2t))]\\
\cong\ & \bigoplus_s(\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r-1}M(\tau^{t+1}(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r}^{\min\{k-2,l\}-1}M(\tau^{t+1}(s),k-2+l-1-2t))\\
\cong\ & \bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r-1}M(\tau^{t+1}(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+1}^{\min\{k-2,l\}}M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Now comparing the two sides of (3.2), we obtain the following:
If $k-1>l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{l-1}M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)]\\
&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{\min\{k,l\}-1}M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t)].
\end{aligned}$$

If $k-1<l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{k-1}M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)]\\
&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{\min\{k,l\}-1}M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t)].
\end{aligned}$$

If $k-1=l$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{k-1}M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)]\\
&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{k-2}M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)]\\
&\cong\bigoplus_s[\bigoplus_{t=0}^{r+1}M(\tau^t(s),n)\oplus\bigoplus_{t=r+2}^{\min\{k,l\}-1}M(\tau^t(s),k+l-1-2t)],
\end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism holds since $M(\tau^t(s),k-1+l-2t)=0$ when $t=k-1$. Therefore, the decomposition in Part (2) holds for the case $k+l-1=p+1$. The proof is completed. ∎

By switching the indexes (i,k) with the indexes (j,l) in Theorem 3.5, we obtain the following commutativity.

Corollary 3.6. *For any $1\leq i,j\leq m$ and $1\leq k,l\leq n$, we have an isomorphism: $M(i,k)\otimes M(j,l)\cong M(j,l)\otimes M(i,k)$. Consequently, for any finite dimensional H-modules M and N, we have an H-module isomorphism $M\otimes N\cong N\otimes M$.*

Let A be a quasi-cocommutative (or almost cocommutative) Hopf algebra. Then $M \otimes N \cong N \otimes M$ holds for all A-modules M and N, see [15, Lemma 10.1.2 and Proposition 10.1.4]. Corollary 3.6 shows that the tensor product is commutative despite the fact that the Hopf algebra H is not necessary quasi-cocommutative. The perfect example is the half-quantum group u_q^+, which is pointed rank one of nilpotent type, but is not quasi-cocommutative in general [7, Proposition 2.1].

To end this section, we describe the dual indecomposable H-modules. Recall that the dual space $M^* := \mathrm{Hom}(M, \Bbbk)$ of module M over H can be given a left H-module structure by means of the antipode S [15, 19]:

$$(hf)(v) = f(S(h)v), \text{ for } h \in H, \ f \in M^* \text{ and } v \in M.$$

Proposition 3.7. *For any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have H-module isomorphism $M(i,j)^* \cong M(\tau^{1-j}(i^*), j)$, where $1 \leq i^* \leq m$ such that $(V_i)^* \cong V_{i^*}$. Moreover, $M(i,j)$ is self-dual if and only if $i^* = \tau^{j-1}(i)$.*

Proof. We first consider the dual $M(1,j)^*$ of the indecomposable module $M(1,j)$. Since $V_1 = \Bbbk_\varepsilon$ is trivial over H, for a fixed non-zero element $u \in V_1$, the elements $x^k u$, $0 \leq k \leq j-1$ form a basis of $M(1,j)$. Let $(x^k u)^*$ be the dual basis of $x^k u$. Then the actions of $h \in G$ and y on $(x^k u)^*$ are given respectively by $h(x^k u)^* = \chi^k(h)(x^k u)^*$ and $y(x^k u)^* = -\chi^{k-1}(g)(x^{k-1}u)^*$, for $0 \leq k \leq j-1$. Here we point out that $yu^* = 0$. Thus, $M(1,j)^*$ is isomorphic to $M(\tau^{1-j}(1), j)$, and the isomorphism is given by

$$(x^k u)^* \mapsto (-1)^k \chi^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}(g) x^{j-1-k} \widetilde{\sigma}_{1,1-j}(u).$$

By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} M(i,j)^* &\cong (M(1,j) \otimes V_i)^* \cong (V_i)^* \otimes M(1,j)^* \\ &\cong (V_i)^* \otimes M(\tau^{1-j}(1), j) \cong V_{i^*} \otimes V_{\tau^{1-j}(1)} \otimes M(1,j) \\ &\cong V_{\tau^{1-j}(i^*)} \otimes M(1,j) \cong M(\tau^{1-j}(i^*), j), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ∎

4. The Green ring and the projective class ring of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$

In this section, we compute the Green ring and the projective class ring of the Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ respectively. Recall that the Green ring (or the representation ring) $r(A)$ of a Hopf algebra A is defined as follows (see [6, 21, 23]). As an abelian group $r(A)$ is generated by the isomorphism classes $[V]$ of finite dimensional A-modules V modulo the relation $[M \oplus N] = [M] + [N]$. The multiplication of $r(A)$ is given by the tensor product of A-modules, that is, $[M][N] = [M \otimes N]$. Thus $r(A)$ is an associative ring with identity $1 = [\Bbbk_\varepsilon]$, where $\Bbbk_\varepsilon$ is the trivial A-module. Note that $r(A)$ is a free abelian group with a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{[V] \mid V \in \mathrm{ind}(A)\}$, where $\mathrm{ind}(A)$ denotes the set of finite dimensional indecomposable A-modules. Now we fix a group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$ of nilpotent type, and let $H = H_{\mathcal{D}}$. We shall first determine the Green ring $r(H)$. Following Corollary 3.6 we know that the Green ring $r(H)$ is commutative. Let $1 = [V_1]$ and $a = [V_{\chi^{-1}}] = [V_{\tau(1)}]$. The following lemma is a consequence of Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.4.

Lemma 4.1. *The following hold in the Green ring* $r(H)$*:*

1. $[M(i,k)] = [V_i][M(1,k)]$*, for* $1 \le k \le n$ *and* $1 \le i \le m$*.*
2. $[M(1,k+1)] = [M(1,2)][M(1,k)] - a[M(1,k-1)]$*, for* $2 \le k \le n-1$*.*
3. $[M(1,2)][M(1,n)] = (1+a)[M(1,n)]$*.*

Since $\Bbbk G$ is a Hopf subalgebra of H, the Green ring $r(\Bbbk G)$, generated by $[V_i]$ for $1 \le i \le m$, is a subring of $r(H)$. Note that $\Bbbk G$ is semisimple. Thus the Green ring $r(\Bbbk G)$ is equal to the Grothendieck ring $G_0(\Bbbk G)$. Since the Jacobson radical $J = (x)$ of H is a Hopf ideal, and $H/J \cong \Bbbk G$, we obtain that the Grothendieck ring $G_0(H)$ of H is isomorphic to $G_0(\Bbbk G)$ [13].

Let $\Bbbk[y,z]$ be the polynomial ring with variables y and z over $\Bbbk$. Let $F_i(y,z) \in \Bbbk[y,z]$ be the generalized Fibonacci polynomials defined recursively as follows (see [2, 12]):

$$F_1(y,z) = 1, \ F_2(y,z) = z \text{ and } F_i(y,z) = zF_{i-1}(y,z) - yF_{i-2}(y,z), \ i \ge 3.$$

Note that the polynomials $F_i(-1,z) \in \Bbbk[z]$ are the well-known Fibonacci polynomials [8]. We have the following main result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $r(\Bbbk G)[z]$ *be the polynomial ring with one variable* z *over* $r(\Bbbk G)$*. Then the Green ring* $r(H)$ *is isomorphic to* $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$*, where* I *is the ideal of* $r(\Bbbk G)[z]$ *generated by the element* $(z-a-1)F_n(a,z)$*. Moreover, under the isomorphism the image of* $F_s(a,z)$ *is* $[M(1,s)]$*, for* $1 \le s \le n$*.*

Proof. According to Lemma 4.1, $r(H)$ is commutative and generated as a ring by $[M(1,2)]$ over $r(\Bbbk G)$. Hence there is a unique ring epimorphism Φ from $r(\Bbbk G)[z]$ to $r(H)$ such that

$$g(z) \mapsto g([M(1,2)]),$$

for any polynomials $g(z) \in r(\Bbbk G)[z]$. It is easy to check by induction on s that $\Phi(F_s(a,z)) = [M(1,s)]$, for $1 \le s \le n$. Now let I be the ideal of $r(\Bbbk G)[z]$ generated by the element $(z-a-1)F_n(a,z)$. By Lemma 4.1 (3), we have

$$\Phi((z-a-1)F_n(a,z)) = ([M(1,2)] - a - 1)[M(1,n)] = 0.$$

This leads to a natural ring epimorphism $\overline{\Phi}$ from $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$ to $r(H)$ such that $\overline{\Phi}(\overline{g(z)}) = \Phi(g(z))$ for any $g(z) \in r(\Bbbk G)[z]$, where $\overline{g(z)}$ stands for the coset of $g(z)$ in $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$. Observe that, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$ has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\overline{[V_i]z^j} \mid 1 \le i \le m, \ 0 \le j \le n-1\}$. Thus, we conclude that

$r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$ and $r(H)$ both have the same rank mn as free $\mathbb{Z}$-modules and therefore the map $\overline{\Phi}$ must be an isomorphism. ■

According to Theorem 3.5, the abelian group generated by the isoclasses of semisimple and projective modules of H modulo the relation $[M \oplus N] = [M] + [N]$ is a subring of $r(H)$. We denote this subring by $p(H)$ and call it the projective class ring of H [4]. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that $p(H)$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module has a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{[V_i], [M(i,n)] \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $z' = F_n(a, z) \in r(\Bbbk G)[z]$. Then the projective class ring $p(H)$ is isomorphic to $r(\Bbbk G)[z']/I'$, where I' is the ideal of $r(\Bbbk G)[z']$ generated by $z'^2 - (1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1})z'$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $p(H)$ is generated as a ring by the projective module $[M(1, n)]$ over $r(\Bbbk G)$. It follows from Theorem 3.5 that

$$[M(1,n)]^2 - (1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1})[M(1,n)] = 0.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2. ■

In general, the monomial category ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ of representations over a Hopf algebra A can not be completely determined by the Green ring $r(A)$ of A. For example, let D_8 be the Dihedral group of order 8, Q_8 the Quaternion group, and A_8 the unique noncommutative and noncocommutative semisimple Hopf algebra of dimension 8. Then the Green rings $r(\Bbbk D_8)$, $r(\Bbbk Q_8)$ and $r(A_8)$ are isomorphic. But the tensor categories ${}_{\Bbbk D_8}\mathcal{M}$, ${}_{\Bbbk Q_8}\mathcal{M}$ and ${}_{A_8}\mathcal{M}$ are not (tensor) equivalent to each other [21, Remark 1.8]. Therefore, two non-isomorphic Hopf algebras may possess the same Green ring. In the rest of this section, we give a sufficient condition for two non-isomorphic Hopf algebras $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ sharing the same Green ring.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}' = (G, \chi', g, 0)$ be two group data of nilpotent type. Suppose that $\chi(g) \neq \chi'(g)$ and there is an automorphism δ of $r(\Bbbk G)$ such that $\delta(a) = a'$, where $a = [V_{\chi^{-1}}]$ and $a' = [V_{\chi'^{-1}}]$. Then the Hopf algebras $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{D}'}$ are not isomorphic. But the Green rings $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ and $r(H_{\mathcal{D}'})$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Since $\chi(g) \neq \chi'(g)$, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that the Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ could not be isomorphic to $H_{\mathcal{D}'}$. By Theorem 4.2, the Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ (resp. $r(H_{\mathcal{D}'})$) is isomorphic to $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$, where I is the ideal of $r(\Bbbk G)[z]$ generated by the element $(z - a - 1)F_n(a, z)$ (resp. $(z - a' - 1)F_n(a', z)$). Hence, the automorphism δ of $r(\Bbbk G)$ such that $\delta(a) = a'$ induces an isomorphism from $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ to $r(H_{\mathcal{D}'})$. ■

Example 4.5. *Let G be a cyclic group of order n generated by g, χ a linear character of G such that the order of χ is n and $a = [V_{\chi^{-1}}]$. Given two group data $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$ and $\mathcal{D}' = (G, \chi^i, g, 0)$ such that $(i, n) = 1$. Then* *the Hopf algebras $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{D}'}$ are nothing but two Taft Hopf algebras. It is easy to see that the Green ring $r(\Bbbk G)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}C_n$, where $C_n = \langle a \rangle$ is a cyclic group of order n. Let δ be the endomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}C_n$ defined by $\delta(a) = a^i$. Then δ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{Z}C_n$. By Proposition 4.4, the Taft algebras $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{D}'}$ are not isomorphic, but the Green rings $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ and $r(H_{\mathcal{D}'})$ are isomorphic.*

5. **Jacobson radical of the Green ring**

In this section we assume that the Hopf algebra $H = H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is defined over $\Bbbk = \mathbb{C}$. We shall study the Jacobson radical $J(r(H))$ of the Green ring $r(H)$ using the fact that if F is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of finite rank, then the dimension of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} F$ is equal to the rank of F. This holds for each submodule of F since a submodule of a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module is free.

Suppose that the order of χ in the group datum $\mathcal{D} = (G, \chi, g, 0)$ is l. Then so is the order of $a = [V_{\chi^{-1}}]$. Note that $q = \chi(g)$ is a primitive n-th root of unity, and $q^l = (\chi(g))^l = \chi^l(g) = 1$. So l is divisible by n. Since $\Bbbk G$ is semisimple, the complexified Green ring $R(\Bbbk G) := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r(\Bbbk G)$ of $\Bbbk G$ is a commutative semisimple algebra, see [23, 24]. As a consequence, all the simple modules over $R(\Bbbk G)$ is one dimensional and the number of non-isomorphic simple modules is equal to the rank of $r(\Bbbk G)$, whereas the rank of $r(\Bbbk G)$ is equal to the number of non-isomorphic simple $\Bbbk G$-modules, namely, m. Let $W_1, W_2, \cdots, W_m$ be a complete set of non-isomorphic simple $R(\Bbbk G)$-modules. Then the action of a on W_j is the scalar multiplication by ω^{t_j}, where $\omega = \cos \frac{2\pi}{l} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{l}$ is a primitive l-th root of unity and $0 \leq t_j \leq l-1$. Denote the index sets by

$$\Omega_1 = \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, t_j = 0\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, t_j \neq 0 \text{ and } \frac{l}{n} \nmid t_j\}$$

and

$$\Omega_3 = \{j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, t_j \neq 0 \text{ and } \frac{l}{n} \mid t_j\}.$$

The cardinality of the above sets Ω_1, Ω_2 and Ω_3 are denoted by d_1, d_2 and d_3 respectively. Obviously, $d_1 + d_2 + d_3 = m$. For $1 \leq j \leq m$, let N_j stand for the number of distinct roots of the equation $(z - \omega^{t_j} - 1)F_n(\omega^{t_j}, z) = 0$. Then we have the following lemma, which is similar to [12, Proposition 4.2].

Lemma 5.1. *If $j \in \Omega_3$, then $N_j = n - 1$. If $j \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, then $N_j = n$.*

Proof. Let $b = \cos(\frac{t_j\pi}{l} + \frac{3\pi}{2}) + i \sin(\frac{t_j\pi}{l} + \frac{3\pi}{2})$. Then $b^2 = -\omega^{t_j}$. The connection between the polynomials $F_s(\omega^{t_j}, z)$ and the Fibonacci polynomials $F_s(-1, z)$ is established by induction on s as follows:

$$F_s(\omega^{t_j}, z) = b^{s-1} F_s(-1, b^{-1}z), \text{ for } s \geq 1.$$

In particular, $F_n(\omega^{t_j}, z) = b^{n-1}F_n(-1, b^{-1}z)$. Since the distinct roots of $F_n(-1, z) = 0$ are $z_k = 2i\cos\frac{k\pi}{n}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, here $i^2 = -1$, see [8]. It follows that the distinct roots of $F_n(\omega^{t_j}, z) = 0$ are $z_k = 2bi\cos\frac{k\pi}{n}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. This implies that the equation $(z - \omega^{t_j} - 1)F_n(\omega^{t_j}, z) = 0$ has roots $\omega^{t_j} + 1$ and $2bi\cos\frac{k\pi}{n}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Now $\omega^{t_j} + 1 = 2bi\cos\frac{k\pi}{n}$ if and only if $\cos\frac{t_j\pi}{l} = \cos\frac{k\pi}{n}$ if and only if $k = s$ and $t_j = \frac{ls}{n}$, for a unique $1 \leq s \leq n-1$. Therefore, there are $n-1$ distinct roots if $j \in \Omega_3$, and n, otherwise. ∎

Proposition 5.2. *Let $R(H) := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r(H)$, the complexified Green ring of $r(H)$. Then*

1. *$R(H)$ has exactly $mn - d_3$ simple modules and each of them is of one dimension;*
2. *the dimension of the Jacobson radical $J(R(H))$ of $R(H)$ is d_3.*

Proof. (1) The fact that $R(H)$ is commutative and the ground field is $\mathbb{C}$ implies that each simple $R(H)$-module is of one dimension. According to Theorem 4.2, the Green ring $r(H)$ of H is isomorphic to $r(\Bbbk G)[z]/I$. This means that each one dimensional $R(H)$-module is a lift from a one dimensional $R(\Bbbk G)[z]$-module. Since the action of a on each simple $R(\Bbbk G)$-module W_j is a scalar multiplication by ω^{t_j}, W_j becomes a simple $R(H)$-module if and only if the action of z on W_j is a scalar multiplication by a root of the equation $(z - \omega^{t_j} - 1)F_n(\omega^{t_j}, z) = 0$. This equation has N_j distinct roots. We conclude that the number of non-isomorphic simple $R(H)$-modules lifted by W_j is N_j. By Lemma 5.1, the number of non-isomorphic simple $R(H)$-modules is $\Sigma_{j=1}^{m} N_j = (m - d_3)n + d_3(n-1) = mn - d_3$.

(2) Note that the dimension of $R(H)$ is mn and the dimension of the quotient algebra $R(H)/J(R(H))$ is equal to the number of non-isomorphic simple $R(H)$-modules, namely, $mn - d_3$. Thus, the dimension of the Jacobson radical $J(R(H))$ of $R(H)$ is d_3. ∎

Now let $\theta = (1-a)(1 + a^n + a^{2n} + \cdots + a^{(\frac{l}{n}-1)n})$ and $\langle\theta\rangle$ the ideal of $r(\Bbbk G)$ generated by θ. Then $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle$ is an ideal of $R(\Bbbk G) = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} r(\Bbbk G)$.

Lemma 5.3. *The following hold:*

1. *The rank of $\langle\theta\rangle$ is d_3.*
2. *The rank of $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle$ is equal to the rank of $\langle\theta\rangle$, where $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle$ is a submodule of the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $r(H)$.*

Proof. (1) Note that the quotient algebra $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$ is commutative semisimple. We first determine the dimension of $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$ by calculating the number of non-isomorphic simple $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$-modules. Observe that each simple $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$-module is precisely a simple

$R(\Bbbk G)$-module W_j such that $\theta W_j = 0$, whereas, $\theta W_j = 0$ if and only if

$$(1-\omega^{t_j})(1+\omega^{nt_j}+\omega^{2nt_j}\cdots+\omega^{(\frac{l}{n}-1)nt_j})=0,$$

which is precisely $j \in \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. As a consequence, there exist exactly $d_1 + d_2$ distinct simple $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$-modules and hence the dimension of $R(\Bbbk G)/(\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle)$ is $d_1 + d_2$. We obtain that the dimension of $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \langle\theta\rangle$ is $m - (d_1 + d_2) = d_3$. Therefore, as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, the rank of $\langle\theta\rangle$ is d_3. ■

(2) We prove the general case: if I is an ideal of $r(\Bbbk G)$, then both I and $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]I$ have the same rank. Suppose $\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_k$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of I. Obviously, $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]I$ is generated by $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_1, [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_2, \cdots, [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_k$ as a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module. We claim that the foregoing generators form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]I$. Indeed, if $\alpha_1[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_1 + \alpha_2[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta_k = 0$, where each $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then we have

$$[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)](\alpha_1\theta_1 + \alpha_2\theta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k\theta_k) = 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $K_0(H)$ denote the Grothendieck group of the category of finite dimensional projective left H-modules, that is, the abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[M(i,n)]$ of projective H-modules $M(i,n)$ modulo the relations $[M(i,n) \oplus M(j,n)] = [M(i,n)] + [M(j,n)]$. Then $K_0(H)$ is a free abelian group with a basis $\{[M(i,n)] | 1 \le i \le m\}$. It is obvious that $K_0(H)$ admits a right action from $G_0(H) = r(\Bbbk G)$: $[M(i,n)] \cdot [V_j] = [M(i,n) \otimes V_j]$. Thus $K_0(H)$ is a right module over $G_0(H)$. In fact, $K_0(H)$ is free of rank 1 with generator $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)] = [M(1,n)]$. Now the equation (5.1) implies that

$$K_0(H)(\alpha_1\theta_1 + \alpha_2\theta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k\theta_k) = 0.$$

Since the $r(\Bbbk G)$-module $K_0(H)$ is faithful [13], we obtain that $\alpha_1\theta_1 + \alpha_2\theta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k\theta_k = 0$. As a consequence, $\alpha_i = 0$, for $1 \le i \le k$. Therefore, the rank of I is equal to the rank of $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]I$. ■

Theorem 5.4. *The Jacobson radical of* $r(H)$ *is a principal ideal generated by the element* $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta$.

Proof. Note that $P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon) = M(1,n)$. We show that the square of each element in $[M(1,n)]\langle\theta\rangle$ is zero. By Theorem 3.5, we have

$$[M(1,n)]^2 = (1 + a + \cdots + a^{n-1})[M(1,n)],$$

and

$$(1+a+\cdots+a^{n-1})\theta = (1-a^n)(1+a^n+a^{2n}\cdots+a^{(\frac{l}{n}-1)n}) = 1-a^l = 0.$$

This yields that $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle \subseteq J(r(H))$. Now by Lemma 5.3 we know that the rank of $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle$ is d_3, and consequently, the rank of $J(r(H))$ is equal or greater than d_3. On the other hand, since $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} J(r(H)) \subseteq J(R(H))$ and by Proposition 5.2, the dimension of $J(R(H))$ is d_3, we obtain that the rank of $J(r(H))$ is equal or less than d_3. We conclude that the rank of $J(r(H))$ is equal to d_3. Therefore, $J(r(H)) = [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle$. Let $\langle[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta\rangle$ be the principal ideal of $r(H)$ generated by $[P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta$. We have the following inclusions:

$$J(r(H)) \supseteq \langle [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta\rangle \supseteq [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\langle\theta\rangle = J(r(H)).$$

It follows that $J(r(H)) = \langle [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta\rangle$, as desired. ∎

Since the Jacobson radical $\langle [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta\rangle$ is contained in the projective class ring $p(H)$, we have the following consequence.

Corollary 5.5. *The Jacobson radical of $p(H)$ is equal to $\langle [P(\Bbbk_\varepsilon)]\theta\rangle$.*

6. **An example**

In this section, we compute the Green ring of a pointed rank one Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ of nilpotent type such that the group G in the group datum $\mathcal{D}$ is a Dihedral group D_{2s}.

Assume that $s > 0$ is a fixed odd integer. The Dihedral group of order $2s$ is defined as follows:

$$D_{2s} = \langle b, c \mid b^2 = c^{2s} = (cb)^2 = 1\rangle,$$

where c^s is the unique non-trivial central element of D_{2s}. The simple modules over the group algebra $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$ are given as follows [18]:

- four 1-dimensional simple modules:
  $$F(i,j) : c \mapsto (-1)^i,\ b \mapsto (-1)^j,\ i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_2,$$
- $s-1$ simple modules of dimension 2:
  $$V(l) : c \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \theta^l & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^{-l} \end{pmatrix},\ b \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},\ 1 \le l \le s-1,$$
  where θ is a $2s$-th primitive root of unity.

For the sake of completeness, we include the Grothendieck ring $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$ of the Dihedral group $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$ described by generators and relations. The result might be found in other literature. We know that the number of simple $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$-modules is $4 + s - 1 = s + 3$, and so is the rank of $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$. The following decompositions of the tensor products of simple modules of D_{2s} is straightforward and hence we omit the proof.

Proposition 6.1. (1) *$F(i,j) \otimes F(k,t) \cong F(i+k, j+t),\ i,j,k,t \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.*
(2) *$F(0,j) \otimes V(l) \cong V(l),\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_2,\ 1 \le l \le s-1$.*
(3) *$F(1,j) \otimes V(l) \cong V(s-l),\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_2,\ 1 \le l \le s-1$.*
(4) *$V(l) \otimes V(h) \cong V(l-h) \oplus V(l+h),\ 1 \le l,h \le \frac{s-1}{2}$ and $l > h$.*
(5) *$V(l) \otimes V(l) \cong V(2l) \oplus F(0,0) \oplus F(0,1),\ 1 \le l \le \frac{s-1}{2}$.*

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *For* $2 \leq i \leq s-1$, $[V(i)] = [V(i-1)][V(1)] - [V(i-2)]$ *holds in* $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$, *where* $[V(0)] := [F(0,0)] + [F(0,1)]$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 (4), we have

$$[V(i)] = [V(i-1)][V(1)] - [V(i-2)], \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq \frac{s+1}{2}. \tag{6.1}$$

To show that it holds also for $\frac{s+3}{2} \leq i \leq s-1$, we multiply by $[F(1,0)]$ both sides of the equality (6.1). According to Proposition 6.1 (3), we have

$$[V(s-i)] = [V(s-i+1)][V(1)] - [V(s-i+2)].$$

Let $j = s-i+2$, then $\frac{s+3}{2} \leq j \leq s-1$ and the above equality becomes

$$[V(j)] = [V(j-1)][V(1)] - [V(j-2)].$$

Therefore, $[V(i)] = [V(i-1)][V(1)] - [V(i-2)]$ holds for $3 \leq i \leq s-1$. For the case $i = 2$, the equality follows from Proposition 6.1 (5). ∎

Let $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{Z}$ with variables x_1, x_2, x_3. We define a sequence of polynomials $f_i(x_2, x_3)$ in the subring $\mathbb{Z}[x_2, x_3]$ of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ recursively as follows:

$$f_0(x_2, x_3) = 1 + x_2, \ f_1(x_2, x_3) = x_3,$$
$$f_i(x_2, x_3) = x_3 f_{i-1}(x_2, x_3) - f_{i-2}(x_2, x_3), \text{ for } i \geq 2.$$

Then we have the following.

Lemma 6.3. *Let* $\langle x_2x_3 - x_3 \rangle$ *be the ideal of* $\mathbb{Z}[x_2, x_3]$ *generated by* $x_2x_3 - x_3$. *Then for* $i \geq 3$, $f_i(x_2, x_3) \equiv x_3^i + h_i(x_3) + p_i(x_2)$ *modulo the ideal* $\langle x_2x_3 - x_3 \rangle$, *where* $h_i(x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_3]$ *with degree* $i-2$ *and* $p_i(x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}[x_2]$.

Proof. By induction on the index i. ∎

Theorem 6.4. *Let* I *be the ideal of* $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ *generated by the polynomials* $x_1^2 - 1, x_2^2 - 1, x_2x_3 - x_3, f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2, x_3) - x_1 f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2, x_3)$. *Then the Grothendieck ring* $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$ *of* $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$ *is isomorphic to the quotient ring* $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]/I$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 (1) and Lemma 6.2, the Grothendieck ring $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$ of $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$ is generated as a ring by generators $[F(1,0)], [F(0,1)]$ and $[V(1)]$. Hence, there is a unique ring epimorphism φ from $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ to $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$ such that

$$\varphi(x_1) = [F(1,0)], \ \varphi(x_2) = [F(0,1)] \text{ and } \varphi(x_3) = [V(1)].$$

Moreover, it is easy to check by induction on i that $\varphi(f_i(x_2, x_3)) = [V(i)]$, for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$. According to Proposition 6.1, the ideal I of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$

is contained in the kernel of φ, that is

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(x_1^2-1)&=[F(1,0)]^2-[F(0,0)]=0,\\ \varphi(x_2^2-1)&=[F(0,1)]^2-[F(0,0)]=0,\\ \varphi(x_2x_3-x_3)&=[F(0,1)][V(1)]-[V(1)]=0,\\ \varphi(f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3))&=[V(\frac{s+1}{2})]-[F(1,0)][V(\frac{s-1}{2})]=0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, φ induces a ring epimorphism $\overline{\varphi}$ from $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ to $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(\overline{f})=\varphi(f)$ for any $f\in\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]$.

If $s=1$, then $0=f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)=f_1(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_0(x_2,x_3)=x_3-x_1(1+x_2)$ holds in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$. Hence as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\overline{1},\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2},\overline{x_1x_2}\}$ and the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ is 4, which is equal to the rank of $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$. It follows that $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism.

If $s=3$, then $0=f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)=f_2(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_1(x_2,x_3)=x_3^2-x_1x_3-x_2-1$ holds in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$. Hence, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\overline{1},\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2},\overline{x_1x_2},\overline{x_3},\overline{x_1x_3}\}$ and the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ is 6, the same as the rank of $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$. Therefore, $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism.

If $s=5$, then we have $0=f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)=f_3(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_2(x_2,x_3)=x_3^3-x_1x_3^2-(2+x_2)x_3+x_1(1+x_2)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$. Thus, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\{\overline{1},\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2},\overline{x_1x_2},\overline{x_3},\overline{x_3^2},\overline{x_1x_3},\overline{x_1x_3^2}\}$ and the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ is 8, the same as the rank of $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$. So $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism.

If $s\geq 7$, by Lemma 6.3, the following hold in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$,

$$\begin{aligned}0&=f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)\\ &=x_3^{\frac{s+1}{2}}-x_1x_3^{\frac{s-1}{2}}+h_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_3)-x_1h_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_3)+p_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2)-x_1p_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2),\end{aligned}$$

where the degree of $h_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_3)$ and $h_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_3)$ are $\frac{s-3}{2}$ and $\frac{s-5}{2}$ respectively. Hence, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ has $\mathbb{Z}$-basis

$$\{\overline{1},\overline{x_1},\overline{x_2},\overline{x_1x_2},\overline{x_3^i},\overline{x_1x_3^i}|1\leq i\leq\frac{s-1}{2}\}.$$

We conclude that the rank of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3]/I$ is $4+2\times\frac{s-1}{2}=s+3$, which is the same as the rank of $G_0(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})$. Hence $\overline{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism. ∎

Denote by χ the character of the simple $\mathbb{C}D_{2s}$-module $F(1,0)$. It is obvious that the order of χ is 2. The Hopf algebra $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ stemming from the group datum $\mathcal{D}=(D_{2s},\chi,c^s,0)$ is of nilpotent type. Note that $q:=\chi(a^s)=(-1)^s=-1$, is of order 2. By Theorem 2.3, the set of indecomposable $H_{\mathcal{D}}$-modules consists of simple modules and their projective covers. Let $a=[V_{\chi^{-1}}]=[V_\chi]=[F(1,0)]$. Then, $a^2=1$. By Theorem 4.2, the Green ring

$r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ of $H_{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to $r(\mathbb{C}D_{2s})[z]/I$, where I is the ideal generated by $(z-a-1)z$. Since the order of χ in the group datum $\mathcal{D}=(D_{2s},\chi,c^s,0)$ is equal to the order of $\chi(c^s)$, by Theorem 5.4, the Jacobson radical of $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ is the principal ideal generated by the element $[P(F(0,0))](1-a)$. Thanks to Theorem 6.4, we obtain the following.

Theorem 6.5. *The Green ring $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ is isomorphic to the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]/I$, where I is the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]$ generated by the polynomials $x_1^2-1, x_2^2-1, x_2x_3-x_3, f_{\frac{s+1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)-x_1f_{\frac{s-1}{2}}(x_2,x_3)$ and $x_4^2-x_1x_4-x_4$. The Jacobson radical of $r(H_{\mathcal{D}})$ (under the isomorphism) is the principal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4]/I$ generated by the element $\overline{x_4}-\overline{x_1x_4}$.*

References

[1] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. Smalf, Representation theory of Artin algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol.**36**, Cambridge, 1994.

[2] H. X. Chen, F. V. Oystaeyen and Y. H. Zhang, The Green rings of Taft algebras, arXiv:1111.1837v2 [math.RT], to appear in Proc. of AMS.

[3] X. W. Chen, H. L. Huang, Y. Ye, and P. Zhang, Monomial Hopf algebras, J. Algebra, **275** (2004): 212-232.

[4] C. Cibils, The projective class ring of basic and split Hopf algebras, K-theory. **17** (1999): 385-393.

[5] C. Cibils, A quiver quantum group, Commun. Math. Phys., **157** (1993): 459-477.

[6] M. Domokos, T. H. Lenagan, Representation rings of quantum groups. J. Algebra, **282** (2004): 103-128.

[7] E. Gunnlaugsdöttir, Monoidal structure of the category of u_q^+-modules, Linear Algebra and its Applications, **365** (2003): 183-199.

[8] V. E. Hoggart, J. M. Bicknell, Roots of Fibonacci polynomials, Fibonacci Quarterly, **11** (3)(1973): 271-274.

[9] H. L. Huang, F. V. Oystaeyen, Y. P. Yang, and Y. H. Zhang, The Green rings of pointed tensor categories of finite type, arXiv: math/1301.0656v1 [math.QA].

[10] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[11] L. Kropa, D. E. Radford, Finite-dimensional Hopf algebras of rank one in characteristic zero, J. Algebra, **302** (2006): 214-230.

[12] L. B. Li, Y. H. Zhang, The Green rings of the generalized Taft Hopf algebras, Contemporary Math, **585** (2013): 275-288.

[13] M. Lorenz, Representations of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras, J. Algebra, **188** (1997): 476-505.

[14] S. Majid, Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[15] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and their actions on rings, CBMS Series in Math., Vol. **82**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1993.

[16] D. E. Radford, On the coradical of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **53** (1)(1975): 9-15.

[17] S. Scherotzke, Classification of pointed rank one Hopf algebras, J. Algebra, **319** (2008): 2889-2912.

[18] J. P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. **42**, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[19] M. E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.

[20] E. J. Taft, The order of the antipode of finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **68** (1971): 2631-2633.

[21] M. Wakui, Various structures associated to the representation categories of eight-dimensional nonsemisimple Hopf algebras, Algebras and Representation Theory, **7** (2004): 491-515.
[22] Z. Wang, L. You and H. X. Chen, Hopf-Ore extensions and pointed Hopf algebras of rank one, arXiv: math/1206.3943v1 [math.RA].
[23] S. J. Witherspoon, The representation ring of the quantum double of a finite group, J. Algebra, **179** (1996): 305-329.
[24] Y. Zhu, Hopf algebras of prime dimension, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **1** (1994): 53-59.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, CHINA

E-mail address: `mailzhihua@yahoo.com.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, YANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, YANGZHOU 225002, CHINA

E-mail address: `lbli@yzu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HASSELT, UNIVERSITAIRE CAMPUS, 3590 DIEPEENBEEK,BELGIUM

E-mail address: `yinhuo.zhang@uhasselt.be`